ALP science 2008, No. 526

# Influence of supplementing hay with grass silage on the fatty acid composition of mountain milk





Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Economic Affairs DEA Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux Research Station ALP

# Contents

Abstract	3
Introduction	3
Materials and methods	4
Results and Discussion	6
Conclusions	16
References	16

# ALP science

#### Title

Influence of supplementing hay with grass silage on the fatty acid composition of mountain milk

#### Picture on cover

Cows on the alp

#### Published in ALP science only

#### Authors

- a Ueli Bütikofer
- a Robert Sieber
- b Mirjam Bregy
- b Luzi Etter
- a Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux Research Station ALP, CH-3003 Berne, Switzerland
- b University of Applied Sciences of Berne, Swiss College of Agriculture, CH-3052 Zollikofen, Switzerland

#### Publisher

Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux Research Station ALP Schwarzenburgstrasse 161 CH-3003 Bern / Switzerland

Phone	+41 (0)31 323 84 18
Fax	+41 (0)31 323 82 27
http:	www.alp.admin.ch
e-mail:	science@alp.admin.ch

#### Contact

 marius collomb

 e-mail
 marius.collomb@alp.admin.ch

 Phone
 +41 (0)31 323 8133

 Fax
 +41 (0)31 323 82 27

# Layout

RMG Design

## Publication frequency

Several times yearly at irregular intervals

ISSN1660-7856 (online) ISBN 978-3-905667-66-0

# Keywords:

Bovine milk fat, fatty acid, mountain milk, hay, silage.

# Abstract

During winter (from December 2004 to March 2005), bulk-tank milk from cows in the mountains (1130±300 m) fed a basic diet of hay supplemented or not with grass silage and similar amounts of concentrates was collected each month from 5 and 7 dairies, respectively. In total, 20 silage and 28 non-silage milk samples were analyzed for their fatty acid (FA) composition. An average supplementing hay with 27% grass silage combined with 4% whole crop maize silage resulted in significant decreases in the concentrations of saturated fatty acids (SFA) (-1.7%; P≤0.001) and branched FA (-6.0%; P≤0.001) as well as increases in monounsaturated FA (MUFA) (+6.5%; P≤0.001), polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) (+4.1%; P≤0.01), conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) (+12.0%; P≤0.001), trans FA (+17.5%; P≤0.001) and n-6 FA (+4.5%; P≤0.05). Despite the higher  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid intake, the concentration of this important n-3 FA in milk fat decreased significantly (-8.0%) when hay was supplemented with grass silage.

## Introduction

Farms in mountainous areas produce milk under more constrained conditions than elsewhere. The constraining factors are the shorter vegetation period, steep hills, less fertile soil, soil and climate only suitable for grassland and long distances from the consumers in the cities. In order that farmers continue to make a living under these conditions it is necessary that they produce milk and food with an added value. In the mountain regions of Switzerland cows were fed on pastures or grass without or with low supplements of concentrate during summer and for the remainder of the year on hay from mountain grass supplemented with grass silage and low amounts of concentrate. During the grazing period in the mountains, markedly low levels of milk fat SFA and high levels of milk fat n-3 FA and CLA were found (Tschager et al. 1994; Bugaud et al. 2001; Collomb et al. 2002a, b, 2004; Kraft et al. 2003; Leiber et al. 2004; Collomb et al. 2008). These effects are essentially due to grass feeding with or without a supplement of low amounts of concentrate but also the specific environmental conditions of the mountains as well as a particularly high botanical diversity of grass species which could specifically affect the FA composition of milk fat. Compared to the lowlands, mountain pastures are associated with a reduction in the gramineae (60 to 25%) and an increase in dicotyledonous species (27 to 43%), particularly compositae, rosaceae, cyperaceae, and plantaginaceae (Collomb et al. 1999, 2002a, b; Bugaud et al. 2001).

Only a few experiments have shown the effects of diets rich in hay (Decaen & Adda, 1970; Bartsch et al. 1979; Shingfield et al. 2005; Ferlay et al. 2006; Morel et al. 2006b) or grass silage (Shingfield et al. 2005; Ferlay et al. 2006; Morel et al. 2006a) on the fatty acid composition of milk. Chilliard et al. (2000) reported that hay making strongly decreases the FA concentration and the  $\alpha$ -linolenic in grass FA, whereas silage making, when carried out properly, does not. The concentration of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid in silage may nevertheless decrease when undesirable fermentations occur (Lough & Anderson, 1973) or when silage is wilted (Dewhurst & King, 1998). Other factors such as particle size, which affects bacterial colonization and lipolysis of lipids in dried grass or silage (Gerson et al. 1988; Ferlay et al. 2006), and variations in the maturity of grass contributed to the influence of forage conservation method on milk FA composition (Kelly et al. 1998). Hay or silage from specific plant species of mountain areas could also affect the FA composition of milk fat. Recently, Shingfield et al. (2005) reported that milk from cows fed hay contained more  $\alpha$ -linolenic and linoleic acids than milk from a silage diet. Incubations in vitro showed that the rate and extent of biohydrogenation in the rumen was higher for ensiled than for dried grass (Boufaïed et al. 2003).

Up to now no study has been carried out to analyze the FA composition of bovine milk during the winter season in the mountain regions of Switzerland. The aim of this study was therefore to study the influence of typical winter fodder from the mountains on the fatty acid composition of bovine milk fat. This study was conducted in natura under the usual conditions of farming, and management of herds in the mountains rather than under strictly defined and controlled experimental conditions.

# Materials and methods

# Aim and approach

During the winter season (from December 2004 to March 2005), bulk-tank milk from cows fed non-silage or silage fodder in the mountain regions of Switzerland (1130±300 m) was collected each month from seven and five dairies, respectively. A total of 28 non-silage milk and 20 silage milk samples were analyzed. Herds from farms feeding on non-silage and silage mainly consisted of Brown Swiss, Swiss Fleckvieh, Simmental, Red Holstein, Holstein and Jersey breeds (Table 1). Large differences in breeds were found between the regions.

Table 1 Number of dairies, average milk performance per cow and percentages of dairy cow breeds

	Non-	silage	Sila	ige	
	x	S <sub>X</sub>	$\overline{X}$	S <sub>X</sub>	
Number of dairies	7		5		
Number of returned questionnaires per dairy	14	11	19	14	
Milk performance (kg/305 days)	6056	428	6097	530	
Brown Swiss (%)	55	49	53	35	
Swiss Fleckvieh (%)	13	18	24	22	
Simmental (%)	4	5	12	23	
Red Holstein (%)	18	28	9	12	
Holstein (%)	8	10	1	2	
Jersey (%)	2	4	3	3	
Others (%)	0	1	0	1	

# Fodder composition

Fodder composition and milk production data are presented in Table 2. Data were obtained from a standardised questionnaire filled out by the farmers. The data were partially complemented by estimations based on the quantity of milk produced. Non-silage and silage fodder did not significantly differ with respect to roughage or total concentrates. Compared to the non-silage diet (81% of hay), silage diet only differed significantly with regard to the lower percentage of hay (53%) combined with a supplement of 27% grass and 4% of whole crop maize silage. Three types of concentrate were fed. These were: cereal concentrate (energy 7.1 MJ NEL (net energy lactation), 10% crude protein), protein compensation concentrate (7.0 MJ NEL, 40% crude protein) and milk performance concentrate (7.1 MJ NEL, 16% crude protein).

With altitude the percentages of hay and concentrates increased significantly and those of grass and maize silage decreased significantly.

Table 2 Mean contents of fodder constituents in both non-silage (n=28, 7 dairies) and silage (n=20, 5 dairies) feeding systems averaged over December to March

Fodder	Non-silage		Silage		Significance (P)				
	x	S <sub>X</sub>	x S <sub>X</sub>		Group GBF %/±			AGBF /±	
Returned questionnaires (%)	72	22	64	16	NS				
Quantity of bulk milk (kg/day)	2335	1339	4318	3413	**	NS		NS	
Total ration (kg dry matter/day/cow)	17.54	0.24	17.73	1.55	NS	* * *	-	*	+
Percentage of feed grasses	81	4	80	5	NS			NS	
Percentage of fresh grass	0	1	0	0	NS	NS		NS	
Percentage of grass silage	0	0	27	6	* * *	* * *	+	* * *	-
Percentage of hay	81	5	53	3	* * *	* * *	+	* * *	+
Percentage of whole crop maize silage	0	0	4	2	* * *	NS		* *	-
Percentage of roughage <sup>a</sup>	87	3	88	4	NS	***	+	* * *	-
Percentage of concentrates	12.7	2.6	12.1	4.3	NS	***	-	* * *	+
Cereal concentrate <sup>b</sup> (%)	5.0	1.7	4.2	2.1	NS	**	-	NS	
Protein compensation concentrate <sup>c</sup> (%)	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.8	NS	NS		NS	
Milk performance concentrate <sup>d</sup> (%)	6.5	2.7	6.3	2.3	NS	**	-	* * *	+
Altitude of preserved feed grasses (m)	1134	295	1137	310	NS	NS		* * *	+
Altitude of cowshed (m)	1112	276	1169	356	*	**	-	* * *	+

Group, non-silage versus silage groups; GBF, grass-based feed; AGBF, altitude of GBF; n, number of samples;  $\bar{x}$ , mean value; s<sub>x</sub>, standard deviation; *P*, probability; NS, not significant; \**P*≤0.05; \*\**P*≤0.01; \*\*\**P*≤0.001; ± , positively or negatively correlated with increasing percentage or altitude of GBF.

<sup>a</sup> essentially constituted of fresh, dried or ensiled grass and whole crop maize but also fed straw, sugar beet pulp, fodder beets, potatoes and some other fibre rich fresh feed.

<sup>b</sup> energy 7.1 MJ NEL (net energy lactation), 10% crude protein.

<sup>c</sup> 7.0 MJ NEL, 40% crude protein.

<sup>d</sup> 7.1 MJ NEI, 16% crude protein.

# Sampling and sample treatment

Individual bulk-tank milk samples were collected in 500 ml plastic screw-top containers after stirring the bulk tank for at least 2 min. Bronopol was added as a preservative and the containers were stored directly at 5° C. The milk samples were centrifuged, and the resulting creams were churned at approximately 5° C. After the resulting molten butter had been filtered through a hydrophobic filter (Schleicher Schuell no. 597 HY1/2), the pure milk fat was collected and stored at -20° C until analysis.

# Determination of the fatty acid composition of milk

After dissolution of the pure milk fat in hexane, the glycerides were transesterified to the corresponding methyl esters of fatty acids with a solution of potassium hydroxide in methanol (ISO 1997). The fatty acid composition was analysed by high resolution gas chromatography with flame ionisation detection according to Collomb and Bühler (2000). The fatty acids were separated on a capillary column CP-Sil 88 (100 m \*0.25 mm i.d. \*0.20 µm) and quantified using nonanoic acid as an internal standard. The results are expressed in absolute values, as g fatty acids per 100 g fat.

CLA isomers were analyzed by silver-ion (Ag<sup>+</sup>)-HPLC on an Agilent LC series 1100 equipped with a photodiode array detector (234 nm) using three ChromSpher Lipids columns in series (stainless steel, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size, Chrompack, Middleburg, Netherlands) according to Collomb et al. (2004). The solvent consisted of UV-grade hexane with 0.1% acetonitrile and 0.5% ethyl ether (flow rate 1 ml/min), prepared fresh daily. The injection volume was 10 µl, corresponding to < 250 µg lipid. The HPLC areas for C<sub>18:2</sub> t7c9 + t8c10 + c9t11 (c, cis; t, trans) were combined and used for comparison of the peak areas of the three isomers from the GC chromatogram. The results were expressed in absolute values, as mg/g fat.

### Statistical analyses

The mean values and standard deviations of FA of non-silage and silage milk fat were calculated. An analysis of covariance was applied to the results of percentages and altitude of feed grasses. Systat for Windows version 11 (Anonymous, 2004) was used for all calculations.

# **Results and Discussion**

#### Pools of fatty acids

The mean content of each of the FA groups in non-silage and silage milk averaged over the winter season is shown in Table 3. Except for the content of short chain and n-3 FA, the concentrations of all other pools of FA in milk fats from cows supplemented or not with silage were significantly different. A mean supplementation of 27% grass and 4% whole crop maize silage to hay resulted in significant decreases in the concentrations of saturated fatty acids (SFA) (-1.7%;  $P \le 0.001$ ) and branched FA (-6.0%;  $P \le 0.001$ ) and increases of monounsaturated FA (MUFA) (+6.4%;  $P \le 0.001$ ), polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) (+4.1%;  $P \le 0.01$ ), CLA (+12.0%;  $P \le 0.001$ ), trans FA (without CLA) (+17.5%;  $P \le 0.001$ ) and n-6 FA (+4.5%;  $P \le 0.05$ ).

Ferlay et al. (2006) also reported that the nature of forage had no influence on the proportions of short-chain SFA with 6 or 8 carbon chain lengths because these FA are synthesized in part by metabolic pathways not involving malonyl-CoA and acetyl-CoA carboxylase and thus would not be influenced to a great extent by the nature of the forage. Compared to the hay diet the decrease in the concentration of medium-chain SFA in milk fat from cows fed hay supplemented with silage may be due in part to the more important amounts of dietary PUFA provided by silage than by hay. These PUFA and/or their biohydrogenation products are potent inhibitors of mammary SFA synthesis by directly inhibiting acetyl-CoA carboxylase activity (Bauman & Griinari, 2003).

Significant but relatively low differences in the concentrations of MUFA and PUFA were found between the two groups (Table 3). It is well known that unsaturated FA in the diet are extensively metabolized in the rumen (Harfoot & Hazlewood, 1988) and so only a small proportion escapes to be incorporated into milk triacylglycerides.

The higher contents of branched chain FA found in the current study in milk from cows fed hay than in milk from cows fed hay supplemented with silage confirms that microbial synthesis of these FA is enhanced by diets rich in fiber (Sauvant & Bas, 2001).

Increases of trans FA and CLA in silage milk fat compared to nonsilage milk fat found in the current study are consistent with a higher PUFA intake from silage than from hay as well as a higher ruminal biohydrogenation with the diet supplemented with silage. Incubations in vitro showed that the rate and extent of biohydrogenation in the rumen was higher for ensiled than for dried grass (Boufaïed et al. 2003). Nevertheless Shingfield et al. (2005) found that the conservation method (hay and silage) had no clear effect on the milk fat trans C18:1 and CLA concentrations and that differences in milk fat trans C18:1 and total CLA contents between hay and silage diets were much lower than would be expected based on PUFA intakes.

The increasing concentrations of milk fat PUFA, CLA, trans FA, n-3 FA as well as the decreasing concentration of n-6 FA with increasing percentages of GBF in the diet (Table 3, Fig. 1) could be essentially due to increasing percentages of grass silage as well as decreasing percentages of concentrates in the diet (Table 2). The increasing concentrations of milk MUFA, PUFA, CLA, trans FA, n-3 FA and n-6 FA as well as the decreasing concentration of SFA and

**Table 3** Mean contents (g/100 g milk fat) of pools of fatty acids in both non-silage (n=28, 7 dairies) and silage (n=20, 5 dairies) milk from December to March

Σ Fatty acids	Non-silage		Silage		Significance (P)				
	$\overline{X}$	S <sub>X</sub>	$\overline{X}$	S <sub>X</sub>	Group	GBF %/±		AGBF /±	
$\Sigma$ short chain <sup>a</sup>	9.63	1.90	9.67	0.32	NS	NS		NS	
Σ medium chain <sup>b</sup>	47.16	1.92	45.39	2.00	***	NS		* * *	-
Σ long chain <sup>c</sup>	32.88	2.04	35.45	2.51	***	NS		* * *	+
$\Sigma$ saturated <sup>d</sup>	63.86	1.27	62.78	1.51	***	NS		* * *	-
Σ C12, C14 & C16	41.73	1.62	40.21	1.70	***	NS		* * *	-
Σ C18:1 <sup>e</sup>	18.92	0.95	20.47	1.61	***	NS		***	+
Σ C18:2 <sup>f</sup>	3.10	0.28	3.35	0.36	***	*	+	* * *	+
Σ unsaturated <sup>g</sup>	26.01	1.10	27.59	1.78	***	NS		***	+
Σ monounsaturated <sup>h</sup>	21.57	0.85	22.98	1.51	***	NS		**	+
Σ polyunsaturated <sup>i</sup>	4.40	0.38	4.58	0.42	**	***	+	***	+
$\Sigma$ branched <sup>k</sup>	2.35	0.11	2.21	0.09	***	NS		*	-
Σ C18:1 t <sup>l</sup>	2.91	0.55	3.46	0.51	***	* * *	+	* * *	+
$\Sigma$ C18:2 t without CLA t <sup>m</sup>	0.68	0.08	0.84	0.07	* * *	* * *	+	*	+
$\Sigma$ C18:2t with CLA <sup>n</sup>	1.52	0.20	1.76	0.19	***	* * *	+	* * *	+
Σ CLA <sup>o</sup>	0.92	0.16	1.03	0.15	***	* * *	+	* * *	+
$\Sigma$ trans without CLA <sup>p</sup>	3.73	0.62	4.45	0.57	***	* * *	+	* * *	+
$\Sigma$ trans with $\text{CLA}^{q}$	4.58	0.74	5.38	0.70	* * *	* * *	+	* * *	+
Σ n-3 FA <sup>r</sup>	1.36	0.17	1.37	0.16	NS	* * *	+	*	+
Σ n-6 FA <sup>s</sup>	2.23	0.22	2.33	0.34	*	* * *	-	* * *	+
Σ n-3 / Σ n-6 FA	0.62	0.10	0.60	0.13	NS	***	+	***	-

Group, non-silage versus silage group; GBF, grass-based feed; AGBF, altitude of GBF;  $\pm$ , positively or negatively correlated with increasing percentage or altitude of FG; n, number of samples;  $\Sigma$ , sum of the concentrations;  $\overline{x}$ , mean value; s<sub>x</sub>, standard deviation; *P*, probability; NS, not significant; \*,*P*≤0.05; \*\*,*P*≤0.01; \*\*\*,*P*≤0.001; t, trans; c, cis; NMID, none methylene interrupted diene; MID, methylene interrupted diene. <sup>a</sup>C<sub>4:0</sub>, C<sub>5:0</sub>, C<sub>6:0</sub>, C<sub>7:0</sub>, C<sub>8:0</sub>, C<sub>10:0</sub>, C<sub>10:1</sub>.

<sup>b</sup>C<sub>12:0</sub>, C<sub>13:0</sub> iso, C<sub>13:0</sub> aiso, C<sub>12:1</sub> c + C<sub>13:0</sub>, C<sub>14:0</sub> iso, C<sub>14:0</sub>, C<sub>15:0</sub> iso, C<sub>14:1</sub> t, C<sub>15:0</sub> aiso, C<sub>14:1</sub> c, C<sub>15:0</sub>, C<sub>16:0</sub> iso, C<sub>16:0</sub>, C<sub>17:0</sub> iso, C<sub>16:1</sub> t, C<sub>17:0</sub> aiso, C<sub>16:1</sub> c.

<sup>c</sup>C<sub>17:0</sub>, C<sub>18:0</sub> iso, C<sub>17:1</sub> t, C<sub>18:0</sub> aiso, C<sub>18:0</sub>, Σ C<sub>18:1</sub>, C<sub>19:0</sub>, Σ C<sub>18:2</sub>, C<sub>20:0</sub>, C<sub>20:1</sub> t, C<sub>18:3</sub> c6c9c12, C<sub>20:1</sub> c5, C<sub>20:1</sub> c9, C<sub>20:1</sub> c11, C<sub>18:3</sub> c9c12c15, C<sub>20:2</sub> c, c (n-6), C<sub>22:0</sub> C<sub>20:3</sub> (n-6), C<sub>20:3</sub> (n-3), C<sub>20:4</sub> (n-6), C<sub>20:5</sub> (EPA) (n-3), C<sub>22:5</sub> (DPA) (n-3), C<sub>22:6</sub> (DHA) (n-3).

 $^{d}C_{4:0}$ ,  $C_{5:0}$ ,  $C_{6:0}$ ,  $C_{7:0}$ ,  $C_{8:0}$ ,  $C_{10:0}$ ,  $C_{12:0}$ ,  $\Sigma$  branched (iso + aiso),  $C_{14:0}$ ,  $C_{15:0}$ ,  $C_{16:0}$ ,  $C_{17:0}$ ,  $C_{18:0}$ ,  $C_{19:0}$ ,  $C_{20:0}$  and  $C_{22:0}$ .

<sup>e</sup>C<sub>18:10</sub> -t4, -t5, -t6-8, -t9, -t10-11, -t12, -t13-14 + -c6-8, -c9, -c11, -c12, -c13, -16 + c14.

<sup>g</sup>C<sub>10:1</sub>, C<sub>14:1</sub> ct, C<sub>16:1</sub> ct, C<sub>17:1</sub> t, Σ C<sub>18:1</sub>, Σ C<sub>18:2</sub>, C<sub>20:1</sub> t, C<sub>18:3</sub> c6c9c12, C<sub>20:1</sub> c5, C<sub>20:1</sub> c9, C<sub>20:1</sub> c11, C<sub>18:3</sub> c9c12c15, C<sub>18:2</sub> c9t11 + t8c10 +

t7c9,  $C_{18:2}$  t11c13 + c9c11,  $C_{18:2}$  t9t11,  $C_{20:2}$  c,c (n-6),  $C_{20:3}$  (n-6),  $C_{20:3}$  (n-3),  $C_{20:4}$  (n-6),  $C_{20:5}$  (EPA) (n-3),  $C_{22:5}$  (DPA) (n-3),  $C_{22:6}$  (DHA) (n-3). <sup>h</sup>C<sub>10:1</sub>,  $C_{14:1}$  ct,  $C_{16:1}$  ct,  $C_{17:1}$  ct,  $\Sigma C_{18:1}$ ,  $C_{20:1}$  t,  $C_{20:1}$  c5,  $C_{20:1}$  c9,  $C_{20:1}$  c11.

<sup>i</sup>Σ C<sub>18:2</sub>, C<sub>18:3</sub> c6c9c12, C<sub>18:3</sub> c9c12c15, C<sub>20:2</sub> c,c (n-6), C<sub>20:3</sub> (n-3), C<sub>20:4</sub> (n-6), C<sub>20:5</sub> (EPA) (n-3), C<sub>22:5</sub> (DPA) (n-3), C<sub>22:6</sub> (DHA) (n-3).

 ${}^{k}C_{13:0}$  iso + aiso,  $C_{14:0}$  iso,  $C_{15:0}$  iso + aiso,  $C_{16:0}$  iso,  $C_{17:0}$  iso + aiso,  $C_{18:0}$  iso + aiso.

 $^{I}C_{18:1}$  t4,  $C_{18:1}$  t5,  $C_{18:1}$  t6-8,  $C_{18:1}$  t9,  $C_{18:1}$  t10-11,  $C_{18:1}$  t12,  $C_{18:1}$  t13-14 + c6-8.

 $^{n}\Sigma$  C\_{18:2} trans without CLA + CLA -c9t11 + -t8c10 + -t7c9, -c9c11, -t9t11.

°C<sub>18:2</sub> -t12t14, -t11t13, -t10t12, -t9t11, -t8t10, -t7t9, -t6t8, -ct12 14, -t11c13, -c11t13, -t10c12, -c9t11, -t8c10, t7c9.

 $^pC_{14:1}$  t,  $C_{16:1}$  t,  $C_{17:1}$  t,  $C_{20:1} t,$   $\Sigma$   $C_{18:1}$  trans,  $\Sigma$   $C_{18:2}$  trans without CLA .

 $^{q}\Sigma$  trans without CLA + CLA -c9t11 + -t8c10 + -t7c9, -c9c11, -t9t11.

 $\label{eq:constraint} ^{r}C_{18:2} \ t11c15 \ + \ C_{18:2} \ c9c15, \ C_{18:3} \ c9c12c15, \ C_{20:3} \ n-3, \ C_{20:5}, \ C_{22:5} \ and \ C_{22:6}.$ 

<sup>s</sup>C<sub>18:1</sub> t12, C<sub>18:1</sub> c12, C<sub>18:2</sub> t9t12, C<sub>18:2</sub> c9t12 + c,c-MID + t8c13, C<sub>18:2</sub> c9c12, C<sub>18:3</sub> c6c9c12, C<sub>20:2</sub> cc, C<sub>20:3</sub> n-6 and C20:4 n-6.

branched FA with altitude (Table 3, Fig. 2) were surprising because of increasing percentages of hay and concentrates in the diet as well as decreasing percentage of silage with altitude (Table 2). Other factors that changed with altitude which could explain these results were fodder of increasing botanical diversity (Collomb et al. 1999, 2002a, b; Bugaud et al. 2001) specific environmental conditions for cows (i. e. energy shortage) variations in breeds which also affect the FA composition (Ferlay et al. 2006) modifying the ruminal fermentation.

## Individual fatty acids

The mean content of each of the individual FA in both non-silage and silage milk averaged over the winter season is shown in Table 4. The concentrations of the main even saturated FA (C6, C8, C10, C12, C14 and C16) and branched FA (C<sub>13:0</sub> iso, C<sub>13:0</sub> aiso, C<sub>14:0</sub> iso, C<sub>15:0</sub> iso, C<sub>15:0</sub> aiso, C<sub>16:0</sub> iso, and C<sub>17:0</sub> iso) as well as  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (C<sub>18:2</sub> c9c12c15) were significantly lower in silage milk than in non-silage milk. Conversely, the concentrations of C<sub>18:0</sub> (stearic acid), C<sub>18:1</sub> c9 (oleic acid), trans C<sub>18:1</sub> FA (C<sub>18:1</sub> -t6-8, -t9, -t10 + t11 (t11, trans vaccenic acid, tVA), -t12, -t13 + 14 + c6-8, -t16 + c14), and C<sub>18:2</sub> FA (C<sub>18:2</sub> -ttNMID, -c9t13 + t8c12, -c9t12 + ccMID + t8c13, -t11c15 + t9c12) in silage milk were significantly higher. In both types of milk, the concentrations of linoleic acid (C<sub>18:2</sub> c9c12) were not significantly different.

The concentrations of the SFA  $C_{6:0}$  and  $C_{8:0}$  were significantly different between both types of milk but differences were relatively low which confirms that the nature of forage did not have a great influence on the proportion of short-chain SFA with 6 or 8 carbon chain lengths (Ferlay et al. 2006). The lower concentrations of the other even SFA in silage milk compared to non silage milk was consistent with the high inhibitory effect on mammary synthesis of SFA by a higher PUFA contents (Bauman & Griinari, 2003).

The higher concentration of oleic acid (15.1 and 16.1 g/100 g fat) in milk fat from cows fed a supplementation of 27% grass- and 4% whole crop maize silage to hay may be due to the fact that silage contains more PUFA than hay. Underfeeding of the cows and thus enhancing the concentration of this compound in milk fat by body fat mobilization should also be considered.

Conservation of grass by drying rather than ensiling resulted in lower forage linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids (Chilliard et al. 2001; Shingfield et al. 2005). Shingfield et al. (2005) found higher milk fat linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid concentrations resulting from hay rather than silage diets supplemented with similar amounts of concentrates (linoleic acid: 1.21 and 0.96;  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid: 0.50 and 0.35 g/100 g FAME, respectively). Despite a higher intake of linoleic acid from grass silage and particularly from whole crop maize silage than from hay, no difference appeared between the milk fat concentrations of linoleic acid in the current study. The higher concentration of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid in milk fat from cows fed the hay diet than the hay diet supplemented with silage found in this study confirms the results obtained by other authors (Shingfield et al. 2005; Ferlay et al. 2006), but the differences were relatively low (0.88 and 0.81 g/100 g fat). Moreover, Ferlay et al. (2006) also observed higher values with hay diets than with pasture despite the higher  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid levels in grass. Doreau, Ukeda and Poncet (2003) also observed that biohydrogenation was more important with pasture than hay-based diets which is in agreement with the lower estimated transfer efficiency of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid from diet intake into milk with pasture diets.

The higher content of the combined FA C<sub>18:2</sub> t11c15 + t9c12 in silage milk than in non-silage can be also attributed to fermentation process of feed PUFA, particularly of grass-based  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid in the rumen of cows leading to a higher concentration of C18:2 t11c15 FA. It is likely that the biohydrogenation of feed  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid was more important when the hay diet was supplemented with grass silage. The pathway for the hydrogenation of feed Table 4 Mean contents (g/100 g milk fat) of individual fatty acids in both non-silage (n=28, 7 dairies) and silage (n=20, 5 dairies) milk from December to March

Σ Fatty acids	Non-	silage	Silage		Significance (P)				
	x	S <sub>X</sub>	$\overline{X}$	S <sub>X</sub>	Group	GBF %/±		AGBF /±	
FA concentrations which were significan	tly different	between r	non-silage	and silage	milk				
C <sub>6:0</sub>	2.18	0.04	2.11	0.06	* * *	NS		NS	
C <sub>8:0</sub>	1.23	0.03	1.17	0.05	***	NS		* *	-
C <sub>10:0</sub>	2.65	0.17	2.49	0.17	***	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>10:1</sub>	0.32	0.03	0.29	0.03	* * *	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>12:0</sub>	2.92	0.21	2.71	0.21	* * *	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>13:0</sub> iso	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00	***	NS		NS	
C <sub>13:0</sub> aiso	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.01	***	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>12:1</sub> c + C <sub>13:0</sub>	0.15	0.02	0.14	0.02	**	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>14:0</sub> iso	0.15	0.01	0.13	0.01	***	**	+	* * *	+
C14:0	10.34	0.34	9.88	0.44	***	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>15:0</sub> iso	0.26	0.01	0.24	0.02	***	NS		NS	
C <sub>15:0</sub> aiso	0.47	0.02	0.44	0.02	***	* *	+	NS	
С <sub>14:1</sub> с	0.87	0.09	0.80	0.10	* * *	NS		* * *	-
C <sub>16:0</sub> iso	0.28	0.02	0.25	0.02	* * *	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>16:0</sub>	28.47	1.23	27.62	1.12	* * *	*	-	* * *	-
C <sub>17:0</sub> iso	0.32	0.02	0.30	0.01	***	NS		NS	
С <sub>16:1</sub> с	1.17	0.16	1.10	0.11	* * *	* * *	-	* * *	-
C <sub>16:1</sub> t	0.10	0.02	0.11	0.02	* * *	* *	+	* * *	+
C <sub>17:1</sub> t	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	***	*	+	NS	
C <sub>18:0</sub>	8.14	0.79	8.99	0.63	***	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t4	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	*	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	*	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t6-8	0.13	0.03	0.15	0.04	***	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t9	0.23	0.03	0.27	0.03	***	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t10-11	1.97	0.41	2.24	0.38	***	***	+	* * *	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t12	0.16	0.03	0.22	0.04	***	NS		***	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t13-14 + c6-8	0.41	0.07	0.55	0.06	***	NS		***	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> c9	15.12	0.69	16.05	1.17	***	**	-	NS	
C <sub>18:1</sub> c12	0.14	0.03	0.17	0.04	***	*	-	***	+
C <sub>18:1</sub> t16 + c14	0.21	0.03	0.28	0.03	***	***	+	***	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> ttNMID	0.09	0.02	0.11	0.01	***	***	+	NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> c9t13 + t8c12	0.14	0.01	0.17	0.02	***	*	+	NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> c9t12 + (c,c-MID + t8c13)	0.22	0.01	0.24	0.02	***	NS		***	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t11,c15 + t9,c12	0.22	0.04	0.31	0.05	***	***	+	NS	
C <sub>20:0</sub>	0.16	0.02	0.17	0.01	***	NS		***	+
C <sub>18:3</sub> c9c12c15	0.88	0.12	0.81	0.10	*	***	+	* * *	+
C <sub>20:2</sub> c,c (n-6)	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00	*	NS		**	+
C <sub>20:5</sub> (EPA) (n-3)	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.01	*	* * *	+	NS	

Σ Fatty acids	Non-silage Silage			Significance (P)					
	$\overline{X}$	S <sub>X</sub>	$\overline{X}$	S <sub>X</sub>	Group	Group GBF %/±			
FA concentrations which were not signifi	cantly diffe	rent betwe	en non-sila	age and sil	age milk				
C <sub>4:0</sub>	3.54	0.24	3.56	0.12	NS	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>5:0</sub>	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>7:0</sub>	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	NS	NS		**	-
C <sub>14:1</sub> t	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	NS	*	+	NS	
C <sub>15:0</sub>	1.09	0.07	1.10	0.13	NS	* * *	+	***	-
C <sub>17:0</sub> aiso	0.48	0.03	0.47	0.04	NS	NS		**	-
C <sub>17:0</sub>	0.58	0.04	0.57	0.04	NS	*	+	NS	
C <sub>18:0</sub> iso	0.06	0.01	0.06	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>18:0</sub> aiso	0.23	0.03	0.22	0.02	NS	NS		***	-
C <sub>18:1</sub> c11	0.48	0.03	0.47	0.07	NS	**	-	NS	
C <sub>18:1</sub> c13	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>19:0</sub>	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> t9t12	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> c9c12	1.50	0.16	1.49	0.25	NS	***	-	***	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> c9c15	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.01	NS	NS		***	-
C <sub>20:1</sub> t	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00	NS	***	-	NS	
C <sub>18:3</sub> c6c9c12	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>20:1</sub> c5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>20:1</sub> c9	0.13	0.01	0.14	0.01	NS	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>20:1</sub> c11	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>22:0</sub>	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>20:3</sub> (n-6)	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01	NS	***	-	***	+
C <sub>20:3</sub> (n-3)	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>20:4</sub> (n-6)	0.10	0.02	0.10	0.01	NS	*	-	NS	
C <sub>22:5</sub> (DPA) (n-3)	0.10	0.01	0.11	0.01	NS	NS	+	NS	
C <sub>22:6</sub> (DHA) (n-3)	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	NS	NS		NS	

# Table 4

Group, non-silage versus silage group; GBF, grass-based feed; AGBF, altitude of GBF;  $\pm$ , positively or negatively correlated with increasing percentage or altitude of GBF; n, number of samples;  $\Sigma$ , sum of the concentrations;  $\overline{x}$ , mean value;  $s_x$ , standard deviation; *P*, probability; NS, not significant; \*,*P*≤0.05; \*\*,*P*≤0.01; \*\*\*,*P*≤0.001; t = trans; c = cis; NMID: none methylene interrupted diene; MID, methylene interrupted diene; DPA, docosapentenoic acid; DHA, docosahexenoic acid.

 $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (C<sub>18:3</sub> c9c12c15) in the rumen involves an initial isomerization to a conjugated triene (C<sub>18:3</sub> c9t11c15), followed by reduction of double bounds at carbons 9, 15, and 11 to yield the FA C<sub>18:2</sub> t11c15, C18:1 t11, and C<sub>18:0</sub>, respectively (Wilde & Dawson, 1966). The significantly higher concentration of stearic acid in milk fat from silage than in milk from hay were in agreement with the results published by Shingfield et al. (2005) and confirmed the higher level of biohydrogenation in the rumen when the hay diet was supplemented with silage.

## CLA isomers

The concentrations of the three most important CLA isomers C<sub>18:2</sub> c9t11, t11c13 and t7c9 were significantly higher (9.7%, 54.8%, and 14.3%, respectively) in milk fat from hay supplemented with silage than in milk fat from hay (Table 5). These results were consistent with a higher intake of linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid in the silage diet compared to the hay diet as well as with higher ruminal biohydrogenation of fat from hay supplemented with silage than from hay alone. The CLA-enriching effect of grass silage has been attributed to the effects on biohydrogenation and the provision of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid as a lipid substrate for the formation of tVA in the rumen and its subsequent desaturation to  $C_{18:2}$  c9t11 CLA in the mammary gland (Bauman et al. 2003). In the current study the concentration of the CLA isomer C<sub>18:2</sub> c9t11 was highest, followed by the isomers C18:2 t11c13 and t7c9. Highly significant (P≤0.001) correlations were found between the daily intake of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid and the concentration of the CLA isomers C<sub>18:2</sub> t12t14, t11t13, c/t12 14, t11c13 and c11t13 (Collomb et al. 2004). The concentration of the CLA isomer C<sub>18:2</sub> t11c13 was generally higher than that of CLA t7c9 when grass-based diets rich in  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid were fed to cows (Kraft et al. 2003; Collomb et al. 2004). In mountain regions, where essentially grass-based diets are fed to cows, this CLA could be a useful indicator of milk products of alpine origin.

Σ CLA	Non-s	silage	Silage		Significance (P)				
	x	S <sub>X</sub>	$\overline{x}$	S <sub>X</sub>	Group	GBF %/±		AGBF /±	
C <sub>18:2</sub> t12t14	0.07	0.01	0.10	0.02	* * *	* * *	+	NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> t11t13	0.15	0.04	0.20	0.04	***	* * *	+	NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> t10t12	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.01	NS	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t9t11	0.13	0.01	0.13	0.01	NS	* * *	+	* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t8t10	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	NS	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t7t9	0.09	0.02	0.08	0.01	*	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t6t8	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.01	* * *	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> c/t12 14	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	* * *	* * *	+	NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> t11c13	0.42	0.13	0.65	0.17	* * *	* * *	+	NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> c11t13	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	NS	NS		NS	
C <sub>18:2</sub> t10c12	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	NS	NS		* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> c9t11	7.74	1.31	8.49	1.30	***	* * *	+	* * *	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t8c10	0.11	0.02	0.12	0.02	* *	*	+	**	+
C <sub>18:2</sub> t7c9	0.35	0.05	0.40	0.06	* * *	NS		* * *	+
CLA t11c13 / CLA t7c9	1.20	0.35	1.68	0.51	***	* * *	+	**	-
Σ CLA	9.21	1.57	10.34	1.48	* * *	* * *	+	* * *	+

**Table 5** Mean contents (mg/g fat) of conjugated linoleic acid isomers in both non-silage (n=28, 7 dairies) and silage (n=20, 5 dairies) milk averaged over December to March

Group, non-silage versus silage group; GBF, grass-based feed; AGBF, altitude of GBF;  $\pm$ , positively or negatively correlated with increasing percentage or altitude of GBF; n, number of samples;  $\Sigma$ , sum of the concentrations; t, trans; c, cis; NMID, none methylene interrupted diene; MID, methylene interrupted diene;  $\overline{x}$ , mean value;  $s_x$ , standard deviation; *P*, probability; NS, not significant; \*,*P*≤0.05; \*\*,*P*≤0.01; \*\*\*,*P*≤0.001; t, trans; c, cis.

Fig. 1 Influence of increasing percentages of grass-based feed (GBF) in the diet on the concentration of the most important milk fatty acids (g/100 g milk fat; r=correlation coefficient)





**Fig. 2** Influence of increasing altitude on the concentration of the most important milk fatty acids (g/100 g milk fat; r=correlation coefficient)





## Conclusions

In mountain areas, many studies have been carried out during the grazing period and have shown that milk and milk products from these regions have an added nutritional value due to markedly lower contents of SFA and higher contents of PUFA including CLA compared to those from the lowlands. These results are essentially due to grass feeding supplemented or not with low amounts of concentrates. The influence of typical plant species from the mountains as well as specific environmental factors for cows can not be excluded. Up to now no study has analyzed the influence of typical winter fodder on the fatty acid composition of milk produced in mountain areas within Switzerland. In the mountain regions cows were essentially fed on hay supplemented with or without grass silage and low amounts of concentrates. Supplementation of hay with grass silage resulted in higher concentrations of the most important milk fat CLA as well as tVA and lower concentrations of most even saturated FA. Supplementation with grass silage is therefore recommended in order to produce milk and also food with an added nutritional value. Despite a higher  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid intake, the concentration of this important n-3 FA in milk fat decreased significantly when hay was supplemented with grass silage. This effect has recently been recognized and interpreted as a reduction in ruminal biohydrogenation when essentially hay was fed to cows instead of grass silage.

The authors thank their colleagues Ueli Wyss for reviewing the manuscript as well as Monika Spahni, Patrick Malke, Roland Gauch, Agathe Liniger and Florence Clerc for their careful technical assistance.

#### References

#### Anonymous

2004 Systat for Windows version 11. SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL

# Bartsch BD, Graham ERB, McLean DM

1979 Protein and fat composition and some manufacturing properties of milk from dairy cows fed on hay and concentrate in various ratios. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **30** 191-199

# Bauman DE, Griinari JM

2003 Nutritional regulation of milk fat synthesis. *Annual Review of Nutrition* **23** 203-227

## Bauman DE, Corl BA, Peterson GP

2003 The biology of conjugated linoleic acids in ruminants. In *Advances in conjugated linoleic acid research*, Vol. 2, pp. 146-173 (Eds JL Sébédio, WW Christie & R Adlof). Champaign: AOAC Press

# Boufaïed H, Chouinard PY, Tremblay GF, Petit HV, Michaud R, Bélanger G

2003 Fatty acids in forages. I. Factors affecting concentrations. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **83** 501-511

#### Bugaud C, Buchin S, Coulon JB, Hauwuy A, Dupont D

2001 Influence of the nature of alpine pastures on plasmin activity, fatty acid and volatile compound composition of milk. *Lait* **81** 401-414

## Chilliard Y, Ferlay A, Mansbridge RM, Doreau M

2000 Ruminant milk fat plasticity: nutritional control of saturated, polyunsaturated, trans and conjugated fatty acids. *Annales de Zootechnie* **49** 181-205

## Chilliard Y, Ferlay A, Doreau M

2001 Effect of different types of forages, animal fat or marine oils in cow's diet on milk fat secretion and composition, especially conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Livestock Production Science* **70** 31-48

# Collomb M, Bühler T

2000 Analyse de la composition en acides gras de la graisse de lait, I. Optimisation et validation d'une méthode générale à haute résolution. *Travaux en chimie alimentaire et hygiène* **91** 306-332

# Collomb M, Bütikofer U, Sieber R, Jeangros B, Bosset JO

2002a Composition of fatty acids in cow's milk fat produced in the lowlands, mountains and highlands of Switzerland using highresolution gas chromatography. *International Dairy Journal* **12** 649-659

# Collomb M, Bütikofer U, Sieber R, Jeangros B, Bosset JO

2002b Correlation between fatty acids in cows' milk fat produced in the lowlands, mountains and highlands of Switzerland and botanical composition of the fodder. *International Dairy Journal* **12** 661-666

#### Collomb M, Sieber R, Bütikofer U

2004 CLA isomers in milk fat from cows fed diets with high levels of unsaturated fatty acids. *Lipids* **39** 355-364

# Collomb M, Bisig W, Bütikofer U, Sieber R, Bregy M, Etter L

2008 Fatty acid composition of mountain milk from Switzerland. Seasonal variations. *Dairy Science & Technology* submitted

# Decaen C, Adda J

1970 Evolution de la sécrétion des acides gras des matières grasses du lait au cours de la lactation de la vache. *Annales de Biologie Animale Biochimie Biophysique* **10** 659-677

## Doreau M, Ukeda K, Poncet C

2003 Fatty acid ruminal metabolism and intestinal digestibility in sheep fed ryegrass silage and hay. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems* **3** 289-293

# Dewhurst RJ, King PJ

1998 The fatty acid composition of grass silage. *Proceedings of British Society of Animal Science*, BSAS, Penicuik, UK, p. 35.

### Ferlay A, Martin B, Pradel P, Coulon JB, Chilliard Y

2006 Influence of grass-based diets on milk fatty acid composition and milk lipolytic system in Tarentaise and Montbéliarde cow breeds. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89** 4026-4041

## Harfoot GC, Hazelwood GP

1988 Lipid metabolism in the rumen. In *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*, pp. 285-322 (Ed PN Hobson). London: Elsevier Science

# Gerson T, King ASD, Kelly KE, Kelly W

1988 Influence of particle size and surface area in in vitro rates of gas production, lipolysis of triacyglycerol and hydrogenation of linolenic acid by sheep rumen digesta or *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*. *Journal of Agricultural Science Cambridge* **110** 31-37

#### International Organization for Standardization (ISO)

1997 Milk fat, determination of the fatty acid composition by gas liquid chromatography. ISO Standard 15885

# Kelly ML, Berry JR, Dwyer DA, Griinari JM, Chouinard PY, Vanamburgh ME, Bauman DE

1998 Dietary fatty acid sources affect conjugated linoleic acid concentrations in milk from lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Nutrition* **128** 881–885

## Kraft J, Collomb M, Möckel P, Sieber R, Jahreis G

2003 Differences in CLA isomer distribution of cow's milk lipids. *Lipids* **38** 657-664

### Leiber F, Scheeder MRL, Wettstein HR, Kreuzer M

2004 Milk fatty acid profile of cows under the influence of alpine hypoxia and high mountainous forage quality. *Journal of Animal and Feed Science* **13** 693-696

# Lough AK, Anderson LJ

1973 Effect of ensilage on the lipids of pasture grasses. *Proceed-ings of Nutrition Society* **32** 61A-62A

# Morel I, Wyss U, Collomb M

2006a Influence de la composition botanique de l'herbe ou de l'ensilage sur la composition du lait. *Revue suisse d'agriculture* **38** 115-120

## Morel I, Wyss U, Collomb M, Bütikofer U

2006b Influence de la composition botanique de l'herbe ou du foin sur la composition du lait. *Revue suisse d'agriculture* **38** 9-15

## Sauvant D, Bas P

2001 La digestion des lipides chez les ruminants. *INRA Production Animale* **14** 303-310

# Shingfield KJ, Salo-Väänänen P, Pahkala E, Toivonen V, Jaakkola S, Piironen V, Huhtanen P

2005 Effect of forage conservation method, concentrate level and propylene glycol on the fatty acid composition and vitamin content of cows' milk. *Journal of Dairy Research* **72** 349-361

# Tschager E, Zangerl P, Sebastian HJ, Kneifel W, Lang EC, Legner F

1994 Organoleptische, technologische und ernährungsphysiologische Eigenschaften von Almmilch. *Milchwirtschaftliche Berichte* 

## Wolfpassing Rotholz 120 152-157

## Van Dorland HA

2006 Effect of white clover and red clover addition to ryegrass on nitrogen use efficiency, performance, milk quality, and eating behavior in lactating dairy cows. *Thesis ETH Zürich*, no 16867.

# Wilde PF, Dawson RM

1966. The biohydrogenation of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid and oleic acid by rumen microorganisms. Biochemical Journal **98** 469-475

## Wyss U, Morel I, Collomb M

2007 Einfluss der Verfütterung von Grasfutter und dessen Konserven auf das Fettsäuremuster von Milch. *13. Alpenländisches Expertenforum*, 29. März, Höhere Bundeslehr- und Forschungsanstalt für Landwirtschaft, A-8952 Irdning